TAB

Approved For Release 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP86-00244R000100190002-4

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

Executive Registry

5) 1/07

APR 23 1971

A TO THE HEADS OF AGENCIES

sed CEQ Guidelines on Environmental Impact mements Prepared under Section 102(2)(C) of National Environmental Policy Act

ched are the Council's Revised Guidelines on Intal impact statements prepared under Section of the National Environmental Policy Act as in the Federal Register. Also included (see) are the Environmental Protection Agency's rocedures under Section 309 of the Clean Air requires review and public comment by EPA on roposed legislation and agency actions and ins affecting EPA's areas of responsibility ity, water quality, solid waste, pesticides, standards, noise).

revisions in CEQ's guidelines apply to proicy actions for which draft environmental
s are circulated after June 30, 1971. Agencies
sted to update their procedures for handling
ntal statements to take account of the revised
lines prior to July 1. These updated agency
s should be made available to the Council for
ion prior to formal issuance (Attention:
ounsel). The Council will invite the particf OMB and EPA in this consultation.

odating your Agency's procedures, your attenirected in particular to the following:

cy procedures should provide guidance in ng:

- -- those types of agency actions requiring environmental statements
- -- the appropriate time prior to decision for the interagency consultations required by Sec. 102(2)(C)
- -- the agency "review process" for which the final environmental statement and comments are to be available.

Agency procedures should assure that advance comment from the Environmental Protection Agency is requested on proposed legislation, regulations, new construction projects and major actions significantly affecting the environment in the areas of EPA's jurisdiction (i.e. air and water quality, solid waste, pesticides, radiation standards, noise) (See section 8).

(Section 6)

- (i) Environmental statements must include an adequate description of the proposed action to permit a careful assessment by commenting agencies.
- (ii) The comment of EPA on water quality aspects should be requested in addition to any State or interstate certification on this aspect under Section 21(b) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.

(Section 8)

Agency procedures will need to take account of requirements for obtaining EPA comment under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended. Where an agency is filing an environmental statement which will be referred to EPA for comment, no change is required. In the case of proposed legislation or regulations where the matter affects the areas of EPA's jurisdiction and no environmental statement is going to be filed, such matters now must be referred to EPA for comment.

(Section 10)

Agency procedures must assure that, to the maximum textent practicable, the minimum 90 day and 30 day periods

for should seep GSA for the Are startent

of public availability for draft and final environmental statements on administrative actions are observed. As noted, these periods may overlap. Agency procedures should also respond to the requirement that they "insure the fullest practicable provision of timely public information and understanding of Federal plans and programs with environmental impact in order to obtain the views of interested parties." These procedures should include, where appropriate, provision for public hearings and availability of draft environmental impact statements in advance of such hearings. Updated agency procedures must also facilitate public access to draft and final environmental statements and the comments received.

Recent lower court decisions involving the National Environmental Policy Act (e.g. <u>EDF v. Corps of Engineers</u>, D. Ark., LR-70-C-203, 1971; <u>EDF v. Hardin</u>, D., D.C., CA 2319-70, 1971) indicate courts will require an adequate compliance with Section 102(2)(C) and that this process envisions

...that program formulation will be directed by research results rather than that research programs will be designed to substantiate programs already decided upon... The [environmental] statement must be sufficiently detailed to allow a responsible executive to arrive at a reasonably accurate decision regarding the environmental benefits and detriments to be expected from program implementation. The statement should contain adequate discussion of alternative proposals to allow for program modification during agency review so that results to be achieved will be in accordance with national environmental goals.

Although the Supreme Court has not yet construed the Act, there is ample evidence in its treatment of Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act in the Overton Park* case that it also will enforce compliance with the necessary procedural requirements.

^{*} Citizens to Preserve Overton Park v. Volpe, 1 ELR 20110 (March 2, 1971) Approved For Release 2001/08/09: CIA-RDP86-00244R000100190002-4

Approved For-Release 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP86-002***R000100190002-4

- 4 -

We invite the earliest possible adjustment of your agency's environmental statement procedures to reflect the new requirements in the Council's guidelines and the rigor expected by Congress, the courts and the public in our implementation of the National Environmental Policy

Russell E. Train

Chairman

Attachment